



AFP
AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL POLICE

SURVEILLANCE DEVICES ANNUAL REPORT



2013
2014

ISSN 1839-4957

CONTACT OFFICER FOR THIS REPORT

General inquiries about this report should be directed to:

Coordinator — ACT Policing Media and Public Engagement
Media and Public Engagement
ACT Policing
GPO Box 401
Canberra ACT 2601

Website: police.act.gov.au
Telephone: (02) 6264 9460
Facsimile: (02) 6264 9466
Email: act-police-marketing@afp.gov.au

GENERAL CONTACT DETAILS

Post

Written requests for information can be sent to:
Coordinator – Media and Public Engagement
ACT Policing
GPO Box 401
Canberra City ACT 2601

Telephone

For general information about the AFP, telephone National Headquarters:
(02) 6223 3000
The hearing impaired can contact the AFP through the telephone typewriter facility:
(02) 6256 7700
For Freedom of Information (FOI) requests, telephone the AFP:
(02) 6246 2112

Internet

For general information, go to the AFP website:
afp.gov.au
For an electronic version of this annual report go to:
police.act.gov.au

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from ACT Policing.



**Chief Police Officer for the ACT
Rudi Lammers APM**

GPO Box 401 Canberra City ACT 2601
Telephone +61 2 6264 9610 Facsimile +61 2 6264 9510
www.afp.gov.au
ABN 17 864 931 14

19 September 2014

Simon Corbell MLA
Minister for Police and Emergency Services
ACT Legislative Assembly
London Circuit
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Mr Corbell

I am pleased to submit ACT Policing's Surveillance Devices Annual Report for the financial year 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014.

The report has been prepared in accordance with Division 5.2, s. 38 of the *Crimes (Surveillance Devices) Act 2010* (ACT) (the Act).

I hereby certify that the attached Annual Report is an honest and accurate account, and that all operations regarding surveillance devices undertaken in the ACT have for the period 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014 been included.

In line with Division 5.2, s. 38, ss. (h), Part 4 of the Act, I understand that a copy of this report will be laid before the Legislative Assembly within 15 sitting days from the day this report is received by you as the Minister.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in gold ink, appearing to read 'Rudi Lammers', is positioned below the text 'Yours sincerely'.

Rudi Lammers

CONTENTS

THE LEGISLATION	1
WHAT CAN A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT AUTHORISE?	1
TYPES OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICES	2
APPLICATIONS FOR SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANTS	2
DECIDING THE APPLICATIONS	3
WHAT MUST A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT CONTAIN?	4
REVOCAION OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT	4
DISCONTINUANCE OF USE OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICE UNDER WARRANT	4
EMERGENCY AUTHORISATION OF A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT	5
RESTRICTIONS ON USE, COMMUNICATION AND PUBLICATION OF INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE	5
REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	6
MONITORING BY THE OMBUDSMAN	6
OVERVIEW OF ACT POLICING SURVEILLANCE DEVICE RECORDS 2013-14	7
SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANTS CONDUCTED BY ACT POLICING	8
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 30	8
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 31	9
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 32	10
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 33	11
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 34	12
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 35	13
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 36	14
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 37	15
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 38	16
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 39	17
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 40	18
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 41	19
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 42	20
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 43	21
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 44	22
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 45	23
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 46	24
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 47	25
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 48	26
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 49	27
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 50	28
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 51	29
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 52	30
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 53	31
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 54	32
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 55	33
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 56	34
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 57	35
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 58	36
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 59	37
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 60	38
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 61	39
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 62	40
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 63	41
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 64	42
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 65	43
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 66	44
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 67	45
Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 68	46

THE LEGISLATION

In July 2010 the ACT Legislative Assembly passed the *Crimes (Surveillance Devices) Act 2010* (ACT).

The objectives of the Act are to:

- (a) establish procedures for law enforcement officers to obtain warrants or emergency authorisations for the installation, use, maintenance and retrieval of surveillance devices in criminal investigations, including investigations extending beyond the ACT
- (b) allow for warrants and emergency authorisations issued in other jurisdictions to be recognised in the Territory
- (c) restrict the use, communications and publication of information obtained through the use of surveillance devices or otherwise connected with surveillance device operations
- (d) impose requirements for the secure storage and destruction of records, and the making of reports to the Legislative Assembly, in connection with surveillance device operations.

The use of surveillance devices can be undertaken with respect to any relevant offence. A relevant offence, as defined by the Act means:

- (a) an offence against an ACT law punishable by imprisonment of three years or more
- (b) an offence against an ACT law prescribed by regulation.

The kinds of warrants that may be issued under the legislation are:

- (a) a surveillance device warrant
- (b) a retrieval warrant.

A warrant may be issued in relation to one or more kinds of surveillance devices.

The powers provided by this legislation are available for use by a law enforcement agency, meaning:

- (a) the Australian Federal Police; or
- (b) the Australian Crime Commission.

This report contains only information relating to the use of this legislation by the AFP.

WHAT CAN A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT AUTHORISE?

In simple terms a surveillance device warrant provides lawful authority for a law enforcement officer to use one or more categories of surveillance devices to covertly monitor and/or record the conversations or actions of a suspect or group of suspects. This monitoring and/or recording can be of the suspect/s within both private and public premises.

A surveillance device warrant may authorise one or more of the following:

- (a) the use of a surveillance device on stated premises
- (b) the use of a surveillance device in or on a stated object or class of objects
- (c) the use of a surveillance device in relation to the conversations, activities or geographical location of a stated person or a person whose identity is unknown.

A surveillance device warrant authorises:

- (a) the installation, use and maintenance of a surveillance device of the kind stated in the warrant on the stated premises; or stated object or object of the stated class; or on a premises where the person is believed on reasonable ground to be or likely to be.
- (b) the entry, by force if necessary, onto the above premises, onto any premises where the object or class of objects is believed to be, or other stated premises adjoining or providing access to these premises.

Each surveillance device warrant also authorises:

- (a) retrieval of the surveillance device
- (b) installation, use, maintenance and retrieval of any enhancement equipment in relation to the surveillance device
- (c) temporary removal of an object or vehicle from premises for the purpose of the installation, maintenance or retrieval of the surveillance device or enhancement equipment and the return of the object or vehicle to the premises
- (d) breaking open of anything for the purpose of the installation, maintenance or retrieval of the surveillance device or enhancement equipment
- (e) connection of the surveillance device or enhancement equipment to an electricity supply system and the use of electricity from that system to operate the surveillance device or enhancement equipment
- (f) connection of the surveillance device or enhancement equipment to any object or system that may be used to transmit information in any form and the use of that object or system in connection with the operation of the surveillance device or enhancement equipment
- (g) the provision of assistance or technical expertise to the law enforcement officer primarily responsible for executing the warrant in the installation, use, maintenance or retrieval of the surveillance device or enhancement equipment.

A surveillance device warrant may also authorise the doing of anything reasonably necessary to conceal the fact that

anything has been done in relation to the installation, use, maintenance or retrieval of a surveillance device or enhancement equipment under the warrant.

A law enforcement officer may use a surveillance device under a warrant only if the officer is acting in the performance of the officer's duty.

TYPES OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICES

Under the Act the term surveillance device means a:

- (a) data surveillance device, a listening device, an optical surveillance device or a tracking device
- (b) device that is a combination of any two or more of the devices mentioned in paragraph (a)
- (c) device of a kind prescribed by regulation.

The warrant scheme contained in this Act covers four categories of surveillance devices:

1. Data surveillance devices — those devices, equipment or programs that are capable of being used to record or monitor data entered into or received by a computer.
2. Listening devices — those devices capable of being used to overhear, record, monitor or listen to a conversation or words spoken to or by any person in conversation.
3. Optical surveillance devices — those devices capable of being used to record visually or observe an activity.
4. Tracking devices — those electronic devices that are capable of being used to work out or monitor the location of a person or an object or the status of an object.

APPLICATIONS FOR SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANTS

A law enforcement officer (or another person on the officer's behalf) may apply for the issue of a surveillance device warrant if the law enforcement officer suspects or believes on reasonable grounds that:

- (a) a relevant offence has been, is being, is about to be or is likely to be committed; and
- (b) an investigation into that offence is being, will be

or is likely to be conducted in the ACT, in the ACT and in one or more participating jurisdictions or in one or more participating jurisdictions; and

- (c) the use of a surveillance device in the ACT, in the ACT and in one or more participating jurisdictions or in one or more participating jurisdictions is or will be necessary in the course of that investigation for the purpose of enabling evidence or information to be obtained of the commission of the relevant offence or the identity or location of the offender.

The application may be made to

- (a) a judge; or
- (b) for an application for a surveillance devices warrant authorising the use of a tracking device only — a magistrate.

The application must:

- (a) state the name of the applicant
- (b) state the nature and duration of the warrant sought, including the type of surveillance device sought to be authorised
- (c) be supported by an affidavit setting out the grounds on which the warrant is sought.

The application may be made before an affidavit is prepared or sworn if:

- (a) the immediate use of the surveillance devices is necessary for a purpose mentioned above
- (b) it is impracticable for an affidavit to be prepared or sworn before the application for a warrant is made.

If the application is made without the support of an affidavit the applicant must:

- (a) give as much information as the judge or magistrate considers reasonably practicable in the circumstances
- (b) no later than 72 hours after making the application, send a sworn affidavit to the judge or magistrate, whether or not the warrant has been issued.

An application for a surveillance device warrant may be made by telephone, fax, email or any other means of communication, if an application in person is not practicable.

A separate retrieval warrant may be necessary where the surveillance device warrant has expired before law enforcement officers were able to remove the device, or where the device was installed on an object which has been relocated to different premises from those to which the initial warrant authorised access.

The application process for a retrieval warrant mirrors the process for a surveillance device warrant.

DECIDING THE APPLICATIONS

In deciding an application for the issue of a surveillance device warrant, a judge or magistrate may issue a surveillance device warrant if satisfied that —

- (a) there are reasonable grounds for the suspicion or belief founding the application for the warrant
- (b) for an unsworn application — it would have been impracticable for an affidavit to have been prepared or sworn before the application was made
- (c) for a remote application — it would have been impracticable for the application to have been made in person.

In deciding whether a surveillance device warrant should be issued, the judge or magistrate must have regard to the following:

- (a) the nature and gravity of the alleged offence in relation to which the warrant is sought
- (b) the extent to which the privacy of any person is likely to be affected
- (c) the existence of any alternative means of obtaining the evidence or information sought to be obtained and the extent to which those means may assist or prejudice the investigation
- (d) the evidentiary or intelligence value of any information sought to be obtained
- (e) any previous warrant sought or issued under this division or a corresponding law (if known) in connection with the same offence.

WHAT MUST A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT CONTAIN?

A surveillance device warrant must state that the authorising judge or magistrate is satisfied that s. 13 of the Act was complied with; and must state the following:

- (a) the name of the applicant
- (b) the alleged offence in relation to which the warrant is issued
- (c) the date the warrant is issued
- (d) the kind of surveillance device authorised to be used
- (e) if the warrant authorises the use of a surveillance device on premises, the premises where the use of the surveillance device is authorised
- (f) if the warrant authorises the use of surveillance device in or on an object or class of object, the object or class of object in or on which the use of the surveillance device is authorised
- (g) if the warrant authorises the use of a surveillance device in relation to the conversations, activities or geographical location of a person, the name of the person (if known)
- (h) the period (not more than 90 days) during which the warrant is in force
- (i) the name of the law enforcement officer primarily responsible for executing the warrant
- (j) any conditions subject to which premises may be entered, or a surveillance device may be used, under the warrant.

For a warrant where the identification of the person is unknown, the warrant must state the fact.

The warrant must be signed by the person authorising the warrant, and include the authorising person's name.

If the judge or magistrate issues a warrant on a remote application, the judge or magistrate must tell the applicant:

- (a) the terms of the warrant
- (b) the date and time the warrant was issued

- (c) enter the terms and date mentioned in a register kept by the judge or magistrate for the purpose
- (d) give the applicant a copy of the warrant as soon as practicable.

The information required in a retrieval warrant is consistent with what is required in a surveillance device warrant

REVOCATION OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT

A surveillance device warrant or a retrieval warrant may be revoked at any time before the end of the period of validity stated in it by a judge, if a judge issued the warrant; or a magistrate, if a magistrate issued the warrant.

A judge or magistrate may revoke a surveillance device warrant on application by or on behalf of a law enforcement officer.

A judge or magistrate who revokes a warrant must give notice of the revocation to the chief officer of the law enforcement agency of which the law enforcement officer to whom the warrant was issued is a member.

If the judge or magistrate revokes the warrant on the application of a law enforcement officer, the judge or magistrate is taken to have notified the chief officer under ss. 3 when the judge or magistrate revokes the warrant.

A retrieval warrant can be revoked in the same way as a surveillance device warrant under Clause 17.

DISCONTINUANCE OF USE OF SURVEILLANCE DEVICE UNDER WARRANT

If the law enforcement officer to whom the warrant is issued, or who is primarily responsible for executing the warrant, believes that use of a surveillance device under the warrant is no longer necessary for the purpose of enabling evidence to be obtained of the commission of the relevant offence or the identity or location of the offender, the officer must immediately tell the chief officer of the law enforcement agency.

If the chief officer of the law enforcement agency is satisfied that the use of a surveillance device under the warrant is no longer necessary for the purpose of enabling evidence to be obtained of the commission of the relevant offence or the identity or location of the offender, the chief officer must —

- (a) take the steps necessary to ensure that use of the

surveillance device authorised by the warrant is discontinued as soon as practicable

- (b) ensure an application is made for the revocation of the warrant under s. 17.

If the chief officer is notified that the warrant has been revoked under s. 17, the chief officer must take the steps necessary to ensure that use of the surveillance device authorised by the warrant is discontinued immediately.

The intention of this clause is to ensure that devices are not used for purposes other than those for which the warrant was granted.

EMERGENCY AUTHORISATION OF A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANT

A law enforcement officer of a law enforcement agency may apply to the chief officer of the agency for an emergency authorisation for the use of a surveillance device if, in the course of an investigation, the law enforcement officer suspects or believes on reasonable grounds that:

- (a) an imminent threat of serious violence to a person or substantial damage to property exists
- (b) the use of a surveillance device is immediately necessary for the purpose of dealing with that threat
- (c) the circumstances are so serious and the matter is of such urgency that the use of a surveillance device is warranted
- (d) it is not practicable in the circumstances to apply for a surveillance device warrant.

An application may be made orally, in writing or by telephone, fax, email or any other means of communication.

The chief officer may give an emergency authorisation for the use of a surveillance device on an application if satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for the suspicion or belief founding the application.

An emergency authorisation given under this section may authorise the law enforcement officer to whom it is given to do anything that a surveillance device warrant may authorise the officer to do.

Within two working days after giving an emergency authorisation, the chief officer (or another person on the officer's behalf) must apply to a judge for approval of the exercise of powers under the emergency authorisation.

An application must state the name of the applicant; and the kind of surveillance device sought to be approved and, if a warrant is sought, the nature and duration of the warrant. It must be supported by an affidavit setting out the grounds on which the approval (and warrant, if any) is sought.

The judge may refuse to consider the application until the applicant gives the judge all the information the judge requires about the application in the way the judge requires.

An application must not be heard in open court.

RESTRICTIONS ON USE, COMMUNICATION AND PUBLICATION OF INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM A SURVEILLANCE DEVICE

Under the Act protected information means:

- (a) any information obtained from the use of a surveillance device under a warrant, emergency authorisation, corresponding warrant or corresponding emergency authorisation
- (b) any information relating to —
 - i. an application for, issue of, existence of or expiry of a warrant, emergency authorisation, corresponding warrant or corresponding emergency authorisation
 - ii. an application for approval of powers exercised under an emergency authorisation
 - iii. an application under a corresponding law for approval of powers exercised under a corresponding emergency authorisation.

The Act provides that there be strict regulation on who may have access to information obtained from surveillance devices and for what purposes such information may be used.

The Act provides a range of offences punishable by imprisonment from two to 10 years for any intentional or reckless improper use, communication or publication of protected information.

The Act also provides for the safe storage and destruction requirements for records of information and reports obtained using surveillance devices. The intention of the

clause is to ensure that this information is not accessible to unauthorised persons.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The chief officer of a law enforcement agency must give a written report to the Minister that includes the following information in relation to each financial year:

- (c) the number of applications for warrants by and the number of warrants issued to law enforcement officers of the agency during the year
- (d) number of applications for emergency authorisations by and the number of emergency authorisations given to law enforcement officers of the agency during the year
- (e) number of remote applications for warrants by law enforcement officers of the agency during the year
- (f) number of applications for warrants or emergency authorisations by law enforcement officers of the agency that were refused during the year, and the reasons for refusal
- (g) number of applications for extensions of warrants by law enforcement officers of the agency during the year, the number of extensions granted or refused and the reasons why the extensions were granted or refused
- (h) number of arrests made by law enforcement officers of the agency during the year on the basis (wholly or partly) of information obtained by the use of a surveillance device under a warrant or emergency authorisation
- (i) number of prosecutions that were commenced in the ACT during the year in which information obtained by the use of a surveillance device under a warrant or emergency authorisation was given in evidence and the number of those prosecutions in which a person was found guilty
- (j) any other information relating to the use of surveillance devices and the administration of this Act that the Minister considers appropriate.

The information mentioned in ss. A and ss. B must be presented in a way that identifies the number of warrants issued and emergency authorisations given in relation to each different kind of surveillance device.

The report must be given to the Minister as soon as practicable after the end of each financial year, and in any event within three months after the end of the financial year.

The Minister must present a copy of the report to the Legislative Assembly within 15 sitting days after the day the Minister receives it.

MONITORING BY THE OMBUDSMAN

The Ombudsman may inspect the records of a law enforcement agency to determine the extent of compliance with this Act by the agency and law enforcement officers of the agency.

For the purpose of an inspection the Ombudsman must after notifying the chief officer of the law enforcement agency, may enter at any reasonable time premises occupied by the agency; and is entitled to have full and free access at all reasonable times to all records of the agency that are relevant to the inspection.

The Ombudsman may require a member of staff of the agency to give the Ombudsman any information that the Ombudsman considers necessary, being information that is in the member's possession, or to which the member has access, and that is relevant to the inspection.

The Ombudsman must give a written report prepared under the *Annual Reports (Government Agencies) Act 2004*, on the results of each inspection under this section in the preceding financial year. The report must include a report on the comprehensiveness and adequacy of the records of the agency and the cooperation given by the agency in facilitating the inspection by the Ombudsman of those records.

OVERVIEW OF ACT POLICING SURVEILLANCE DEVICE RECORDS 2013-14

Number of surveillance device applications for Data Surveillance Devices	6
Number of surveillance device warrants issued for Data Surveillance Devices	6
Number of surveillance device emergency authorisations for Data Surveillance Devices	0
Number of surveillance device applications for Listening Devices	27
Number of surveillance device warrants issued for Listening Devices	27
Number of surveillance device emergency authorisations for Listening Devices	0
Number of surveillance device applications for Optical Surveillance Devices	20
Number of surveillance device warrants Issued for Optical Surveillance Devices	20
Number of surveillance device emergency Authorisations for Optical Surveillance Devices	0
Number of surveillance device applications for Tracking Devices	27
Number of surveillance device warrants Issued for Tracking Devices	27
Number of surveillance device emergency authorisations Tracking Devices	0
Total number of surveillance device applications – Remote	0
Total number of surveillance device applications – Rejected	0
Total number of emergency authorisations – Rejected	0
Total number of surveillance device applications for extension	4
Total number of surveillance device applications for extension – Rejected	0
Total number of arrests linked to use of surveillance devices	7
Total number of prosecutions commenced linked to use of surveillance devices	4
Total number of guilty convictions in which used evidence collected by use of surveillance devices	0

N.B. These figures include a record of 12 surveillance device applications and warrants carried over from last reporting period. These devices commenced operation but did not conclude during the last reporting period and have not previously been reported on.

SURVEILLANCE DEVICE WARRANTS CONDUCTED BY ACT POLICING

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 30

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Hilary Penfold
Date of warrant issue
28 March 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
25 June 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 31

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Listening device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Hilary Penfold
Date of warrant issue
16 April 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Aggravated Robbery, against s. 310 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 2500 penalty units, 25 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
14 July 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance device to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 32

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Lisbeth Campbell
Date of warrant issue
19 April 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 6 16(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 23 May 2013 by Justice Bernadette Boss.
Period in force
25 days
Outcome of warrant
One arrest resulted from the use of the surveillance device. As at 30 June 2014, investigations were ongoing.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 33

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Lisbeth Campbell
Date of warrant issue
19 April 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
17 July 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance device to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 34

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Chief Magistrate Lorraine Walker
Date of warrant issue
8 May 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both. Trafficking in Cannabis, against s. 603(8) and of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 300 penalty units, 3 years imprisonment or both. Blackmail, against s. 342 of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1400 penalty units, 14 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
5 August 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance device to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 35

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Data, tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Acting Justice John Nield
Date of warrant issue
6 June 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 616(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 2 August 2013 by Acting Justice John Nield.
Period in force
58 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 36

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Data, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Acting Justice John Nield
Date of warrant issue
6 June 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 616(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 2 August 2013 by Acting Justice John Nield.
Period in force
58 days
Outcome of warrant
Two arrests and two prosecutions resulted from the use of the surveillance devices. As at 30 June 2014, this case was before court.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 37

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Data, optical and tracking devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Acting Justice John Nield
Date of warrant issue
6 June 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 616(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 2 August 2013 by Acting Justice John Nield.
Period in force
58 days
Outcome of warrant
Three arrests and three prosecutions resulted from the use of the surveillance devices. As at 30 June 2014, this case was before court.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 38

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
18 June 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 6 16(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 6 August 2013 by Magistrate Peter Morrison.
Period in force
50 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance device to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 39

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
26 June 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 616(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 2 August 2013 by Magistrate Peter Morrison.
Period in force
38 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 40

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
26 June 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 6 16(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 2 August 2013 by Magistrate Peter Morrison.
Period in force
38 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 41

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
26 June 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 616(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 2 August 2013 by Magistrate Peter Morrison.
Period in force
38 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 42

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Data, optical, tracking and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Acting Justice John Nield
Date of warrant issue
8 August 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
5 November 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 43

Type of warrant
Retrieval
Type of surveillance device
Listening device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
15 August 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 616(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
13 September 2013
Period in force
30 days
Outcome of warrant
Device was retrieved.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 44

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
15 August 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Acts endangering life against s. 27(3) of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by 10 years imprisonment.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 23 October 2013 by Justice Richard Refshauge.
Period in force
70 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 45

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
15 August 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Acts endangering life against s. 27(3) of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by 10 years imprisonment.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 23 October 2013 by Justice Richard Refshauge.
Period in force
70 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 46

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
15 August 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Acts endangering life against s. 27(3) of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by 10 years imprisonment.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 23 October 2013 by Justice Richard Refshauge.
Period in force
70 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 47

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
13 September 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
11 December 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Two arrests resulted from the use of the surveillance device.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 48

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
11 September 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
9 December 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance device to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 49

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
11 October 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
8 January 2013
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Two arrests resulted from the use of the surveillance devices.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 50

Type of warrant
Retrieval
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Robert Cook
Date of warrant issue
10 November 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Cultivate a Trafficable Quantity of Cannabis, against s. 6 16(5) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT), an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 28 November 2013 by Magistrate Peter Dingwall.
Period in force
18 days
Outcome of warrant
Device was retrieved.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 51

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Chief Justice Helen Murrell
Date of warrant issue
22 November 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
Yes. Extended for 60 days on 18 February 2014 by Chief Justice Helen Murrell.
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
18 April 2014
Period in force
90 days, plus 60 day extension
Outcome of warrant
One arrest and one prosecution resulted from the use of the surveillance devices. As at 30 June 2014, this case was before court.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 52

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Chief Justice Helen Murrell
Date of warrant issue
22 November 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
Yes. Extended for 60 days on 18 February 2014 by Chief Justice Helen Murrell.
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
18 April 2014
Period in force
90 days, plus 60 day extension.
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 53

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Chief Justice Helen Murrell
Date of warrant issue
22 November 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
Yes. Extended for 60 days on 18 February 2014 by Chief Justice Helen Murrell.
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
18 April 2014
Period in force
90 days, plus 60 day extension
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 54

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Chief Justice Helen Murrell
Date of warrant issue
22 November 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
Yes. Extended for 60 days on 18 February 2014 by Chief Justice Helen Murrell.
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
18 April 2014
Period in force
90 days, plus 60 day extension
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 55

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Data, tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Chief Justice Helen Murrell
Date of warrant issue
22 November 2013
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
19 February 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 56

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice John Burns
Date of warrant issue
16 December 2013
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
15 March 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 57

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Data, tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Hilary Penfold
Date of warrant issue
7 January 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
6 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
One arrest resulted from the use of the surveillance devices.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 58

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Hilary Penfold
Date of warrant issue
8 January 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No.
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
7 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Two arrests and three prosecutions resulted from the use of the surveillance devices. As at 30 June 2014, this case was before court.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 59

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Hilary Penfold
Date of warrant issue
8 January 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
7 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 60

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
21 January 2014
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
20 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 61

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
21 January 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
20 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Two arrests and two prosecutions resulted from the use of the surveillance devices. As at 30 June 2014, this case was before court.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 62

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
21 January 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
20 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 63

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
21 January 2014
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
20 April 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 64

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Listening device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
7 February 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
8 April 2014
Period in force
60 days
Outcome of warrant
As at 30 June 2014, investigations were ongoing.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 65

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Magistrate Peter Morrison
Date of warrant issue
14 February 2014
Warrant executed
No
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both. Cultivating a commercial quantity of a controlled plant against s. 616(3) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 2500 penalty units, 25 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
Revoked on 15 April 2014 by Magistrate Peter Dingwall.
Period in force
62 days
Outcome of warrant
Warrant was not executed.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 66

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Listening device
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Hilary Penfold
Date of warrant issue
31 March 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Murder against s. 12 of the <i>Crimes Act 1900</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by imprisonment for life.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
28 June 2014
Period in force
90 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance device to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 67

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice Richard Refshauge
Date of warrant issue
2 May 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
15 June 2014
Period in force
45 days
Outcome of warrant
One arrest and one prosecution resulted from the use of the surveillance devices.

Surveillance Device Operation Number: A/SD 68

Type of warrant
Surveillance device
Type of surveillance device
Tracking, optical and listening devices
Application type
Standard
Application authorised or refused
Authorised
Authorising officer
Justice John Burns
Date of warrant issue
6 May 2014
Warrant executed
Yes
Relevant offence being investigated by use of surveillance device warrant
Trafficking in controlled drug, against s. 603(7) of the <i>Criminal Code 2002</i> (ACT) an offence punishable on conviction by a maximum penalty of 1000 penalty units, 10 years imprisonment or both.
Extension or amendment of warrant
No
Revocation or expiry date of warrant
4 June 2014
Period in force
30 days
Outcome of warrant
Insufficient evidence was garnered from the surveillance devices to enable an arrest or successful prosecution.



AFP

AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL POLICE